## 重夸克偶素产生机制:现状及未来



#### 中国科学技术大学,2023/09/01



## Outline

#### I. Introduction of quarkonium

- II. CSM and CEM
- **III. NRQCD factorization theory**
- IV. Soft gluon factorization
- V. Recent progresses and difficulties
- **VI. Summary**

## Strong interaction and QCD

#### Strong interaction

Atomic bomb

۲

• 95% mass of visible matter



#### > QCD: extremely hard

- Asymptotic freedom: perturbative at short distance
- Confinement: nonperturbative at long distance



## **Confinement and hadronization**

#### Confinement

- 1/7 millennium prize problems in 21st century
- Not yet understood
- Equivalent: why and how produced quarks and gluons become hadrons?

#### Hadronization

- Light hadrons: factorization → fragmentations functions, do not know how to compute
- Heavy quarkonium: localized color charge, perturbative QCD can help, the simplest system
- HQ production: near 50 years after the discovery, still not understood

# Clay Mathematics Institute About Programs & Awards People The Millennium Prize Problems Online resources Events News Home – Millennium Problems – Yang-Mills & The Mass Gap Unsolved Yang-Mills & The Mass Gap

Experiment and computer simulations suggest the existence of a "mass gap" in the solution to the quantum versions of the Yang-Mills equations. But no proof of this property is known.



## Discovery of the $J/\psi$ : J particle

#### GIM mechanism and charm quark

• To suppress FCNC process, Glashow–Iliopoulos–Maiani mechanism required the existence of a fourth quark

#### J particle discovered at BNL

- $\ln p + Be \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + X$
- 3.1 GeV, about three times heavier than the proton
- With  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$



Samuel Ting and his BNL team. Nobel Prize in 1976

## Discovery of the $J/\psi$ : $\psi$ particle

 $\gg \psi$  particle discovered at SLAC

#### • In $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons, $l^+l^-$





Burton Richter following the announcement of co-winning the 1976 Nobel Prize.

## Heavy quarkonium

#### > Bound state of $Q\overline{Q}$ pair under strong interaction

 $J/\psi \psi', \chi_{cJ}, \Upsilon(nS), \chi_{bJ}(nP) \cdots$ 



- The simplest system in QCD: two-body problem
- "Hydrogen atom in QCD", "an ideal laboratory in QCD"

## Velocity of heavy quarks

> Coulomb potential between color singlet heavy quark pair:

$$V(r) = -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r}$$

> Virial theorem:

$$mv^2 \sim V(r) \sim \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r}$$

 $r \sim \frac{1}{1}$ 

 $m\nu$ 

> Uncertainty principle:

 $\alpha_s(mv) \sim mv^2 \, r \sim v$ 

## Properties

- > A non-relativistic QCD system:  $v^2 \ll 1$ 
  - Charmonium:  $m \sim 1.3 \text{GeV}$ ,  $v^2 \approx 0.3$
  - Bottomonium:  $m \sim 4.5 GeV$ ,  $v^2 \approx 0.1$
- > Multiple well-separated scales :
  - Quark mass: M
  - Momentum: Mv

 $M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2 \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ 

- Energy:  $Mv^2$
- Involving both perturbative and nonperturbative physics
- Production is ideal to understand hadronization: why and how quarks become hadrons?

## Space-time picture for production

Hadronization followed by production of an off-shell heavy quark pair



- Time scale for producing heavy quark pair:  $\frac{1}{2m}$
- Time scale for expansion:  $\frac{1}{mv}$
- Time scale for forming bound state:  $\frac{1}{m v^2}$

## Approximation

#### On-shell pair + hadronization

$$\sigma_{AB\to H+X} = \sum_{n} \int_{n} d\Gamma_{(Q\bar{Q})_{n}} \left[ \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(Q^{2})}{d\Gamma_{(Q\bar{Q})_{n}}} \right] F_{(Q\bar{Q})_{n}\to H} \left( p_{Q}, p_{\bar{Q}}, P_{H} \right)$$

- Needs justification
- Corrections are at higher order in *v*, may need to consider
- Different assumptions/treatments on how the heavy quark pair becomes a heavy quarkonium: different factorization methods

## Theories for $p_T \sim m$

#### 1. 1975 - CSM&CEM

- CSM: IR div.,  $\psi'$  surplus Einhorn, Ellis (1975), Chang (1980) ...
- CEM: wrong for ratio Fritzsch (1977), Halzen (1977) ...

(Improved-CEM: helpful in some problems)

YQM, Vogt, 1609.06042

2. 1994 - NRQCD Bodwin, Braz

Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage, 9407339

- Polarization puzzle; Universality problem; Hierarchy problem; Negative cross sections; ...
- 3. 2017- SGF

YQM, Chao, 1703.08402 Chen, YQM, 2005.08786

- Resum kinematic effects in NRQCD
- Under development; May resolve some problems

## Theories for extreme $p_T$

#### **>** High $p_T \gg m$ : CO factorization

Kang, Qiu, Sterman, 1109.1520 Fleming, Leibovich, Mehen, Rothstein 1207.2578 Kang, YQM, Qiu, Sterman, 1401.0923

- Power expansion, double parton fragmentation
- **Resum large log**  $\ln(p_T/m)$

#### > Low $p_T \ll m$ : $k_T$ -dependent factorization (CGC+)

YQM, Venugopalan, 1408.4075 Watanabe, Xiao, 1507.06564

- Color Glass Condensate: resum small-x log  $\ln(x)$ , higher twist contributions
- **Resum Sudakov log**  $\ln(p_T/m)$



#### I. Introduction of quarkonium

**II. CSM and CEM** 

**III. NRQCD factorization theory** 

- IV. Soft gluon factorization
- V. Recent progresses and difficulties
- **VI. Summary**

## Color evaporation model

**Formula:** Fritzsch (1977), Halzen (1977) ...

• A fixed fraction to become  $\psi$  if the invariant mass of  $c\bar{c}$ -pair is below the *D*-meson threshold

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\psi}(P)}{d^{3}P} = F_{\psi} \int_{2m_{c}}^{2M_{D}} dM \frac{d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}(M,P)}{dMd^{3}P}$$

#### Simple and intuitive

One parameter for each quarkonium

No IR div. problem

## CEM - wrong for ratio

#### > But

- Wrong prediction for ratio of two quarkonia: constant
- Data: kinematics dependent



## **Improved CEM**

#### > The improved model:

YQM, Vogt, 1609.06042

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\psi}(P)}{d^{3}P} = F_{\psi} \int_{M_{\psi}}^{2M_{D}} d^{3}P' dM \frac{d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}(M,P')}{dMd^{3}P'} \delta^{3}(P - \frac{M_{\psi}}{M}P')$$

- Momentum shift due to soft particles emission in hadronizaiton process
- Comparing with traditional CEM:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\psi}(P)}{d^3P} = F_{\psi} \int_{2m_c}^{2M_D} dM \frac{d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}(M,P)}{dMd^3P}$$



## **Problem of CEM**



- CEM ignores quantum numbers of quarkonia
- ICEM can describe production ratio  $\psi(2S)/\psi(1S)$ , which has similar quantum numbers
- Still hard to describe other ratios, like  $\chi_{cJ}/\psi(1S)$

## Color-singlet model

≻ CSM:

Einhorn, Ellis (1975), Chang (1980) ...

- Production cross section of a  $c\bar{c}$ -pair with zero relative momentum and same quantum numbers as that of  $\psi$ , multiplied by wave function at origin
- Simply and intuitive
- Effective no free parameter (potential model)

#### Problems

- Theoretically: IR divergence at NLO level for P-wave quarkonium
- Phenomenologically: fine until 1990s

#### CDF: a surprisingly large production rate



Fig. 4. Preliminary CDF data for prompt  $\psi'$  production (O) compared with theoretical predictions of the total fragmentation contribution (solid curves) and the total leading-order contribution (dashed curves).

Braaten, Doncheski, Fleming, Mangano (1994)

• Larger than the CSM prediction by a factor of 30, even though including the fragmentation contribution

## CSM - NLO and NNLO<sup>\*</sup> calculation

#### 10<sup>2</sup> LHC √s=14 TeV Complete NLO calculation Tevatron √s=1.96 TeV 101 $p_{p}^{(-)} \rightarrow {}^{3}S_{1}^{[1]}(b\overline{b}) \rightarrow Y(1S) + X^{-}$ Campbell, Maltoni, Tramontano, 0703113 $\mu_{\rm R} = \mu_{\rm F} = \sqrt{(2m_{\rm h})^2 + {\rm p_T}^2}$ do/dp<sub>T</sub> [nb/GeV] 100 Gong, Wang, 0802.3727 Larger than LO by orders 10<sup>-1</sup> ٠ 10-2 Still much smaller than data • $10^{-3}$

#### > NNLO\* : Estimate NNLO by tree level diagrams

- Almost reach the data ٠
- Infrared cutoff  $s_{ii}^{min}$  dependent •



0

10

20

p<sub>T</sub> [GeV]



---- LO NLC

40

50

Lansberg, 0811.4005

## CSM – Problem with NNLO\*

#### Cannot explain experiment data by including NNLO contribution

YQM, Wang, Chao, 1012.1030

- NNLO\*: dominated by double logarithm, which will be canceled by loop corrections
- Thus NNLO\* method overestimates the CSM contribution





#### Full NNLO is hard to explain data

Shao, 1809.02369

• By using an infrared-save subtraction

method, nNLO correction is not that sizable





#### I. Introduction of quarkonium

II. CSM and CEM

**III. NRQCD factorization theory** 

- IV. Soft gluon factorization
- V. Recent progresses and difficulties
- **VI. Summary**

## NRQCD: factorization

#### Factorization formula

Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage, 9407339



• *n*: quantum numbers of the pair: color, spin, orbital angular momentum,

total angular momentum, spectroscopic notation  ${}^{2S+1}L_{I}^{[c]}$ 

#### A glory history

....

- Solved IR divergences in P-wave quarkonium decay
- Explained  $\psi'$  surplus
- Explained  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  production ratio

Thanks to coloroctet mechanism

马滟青

#### **Examples of achievements**

## CO mechanism

#### > Nicely explain $\psi'$ surplus by CO contributions



## Small $p_T$ region

#### > CGC+NRQCD : comprehensive description of $\psi(nS)$ production



## Predictions for $\chi_{cJ}$ production



#### **Difficulties of NRQCD**

## Hierarchy and universality problems

#### > Fit $J/\psi$ yield data at Tevatron with $p_T > 7$ GeV

- Due to  $p_T^{-4}$  and  $p_T^{-6}$  behaviors, constrain two combinations
- $M_0 = \langle O({}^{1}S_0^{[8]}) \rangle + 3.9 \langle O({}^{3}P_0^{[8]}) \rangle / m_c^2 \approx (7.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^3$
- $M_1 = \langle O \left( {}^3S_1^{[8]} \right) \rangle 0.56 \langle O \left( {}^3\boldsymbol{P}_0^{[8]} \right) \rangle / m_c^2 \approx (0.05 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-2} \, \mathrm{GeV^3}$

YQM, Wang, Chao, 1009.3655

See also: Butenschoen, Kniehl, 1105.0820 Gong, Wan, Wang, Zhang, 1205.6682

### > Two orders difference: hierarchy problem

Velocity scaling rule of NRQCD

 $\langle O\left( \, {}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]} \right) \rangle \sim \langle O\left( \, {}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]} \right) \rangle \sim \langle O\left( \, {}^{3}\boldsymbol{P}_{0}^{[8]} \right) \rangle / m_{c}^{2}$ 

• Thus natural expectation:  $M_0 \sim M_1$ 

#### Upper bound from Belle total cross section

#### $M_0 < 0.02 { m GeV}^3$

Zhang, YQM, Wang, Chao, 0911.2166

• No universality of NRQCD LDMEs!

## Polarization puzzle at LO

> LO NRQCD

• Dominated by  ${}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}$ , LO NRQCD predicts transversely polarized  $\psi(nS)$ , contradicts with CDF data



FIG. 4 (color online). Prompt polarizations as functions of  $p_T$ : (a)  $J/\psi$  and (b)  $\psi(2S)$ . The band (line) is the prediction from NRQCD [4] (the  $k_T$ -factorization model [9]).

## Polarization puzzle at NLO





Chao, YQM, Shao, Wang, Zhang, 1201.2675





Bodwin, Chung, Kim, Lee, 1403.3612

Faccioli, Knunz, Lourenco, Seixas, Wohri, 1403.3970

#### $\geq \psi(2S)$ : cancelation weak, hard to understand data



Shao, Han, YQM, Meng, Zhang, Chao, 1411.3300

马滟青



32/57

## $\eta_c$ production: spin symmetry broken?

- Heavy quark spin symmetry (HQSS)
  - Using the J/ψ LDMEs extracted by various groups, NLO NRQCD predictions greatly overshoot the LHCb data Butenschoen, He, Kniehl,1411.5287

 $\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{\eta_c} \begin{pmatrix} {}^3 S_1^{[8]} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi} \begin{pmatrix} {}^1 S_0^{[8]} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$   $\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{\eta_c} \begin{pmatrix} {}^1 S_0^{[8]} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3} \left\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi} \begin{pmatrix} {}^3 S_1^{[8]} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$   $\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{\eta_c} \begin{pmatrix} {}^1 P_1^{[8]} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \frac{3}{2J+1} \left\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi} \begin{pmatrix} {}^3 P_J^{[8]} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$ 



• Possible solutions: large cancelation between S-wave and P-wave (results in hierarchy)

Han, YQM, Meng, Shao, Chao, 1411.7350 Zhang, Sun, Sang, Li, 1412.0508

## Negative differential cross sections

> Cross sections become negative at exceptionally high  $p_T$ 

$$d\sigma(\chi_{cJ}) = (2J+1)d\hat{\sigma}[{}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}] \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}^{\chi_{c0}}({}^{3}P_{0}^{[1]})\rangle}{m_{c}^{2}} \\ \times \left[r(\chi_{c0}) + \frac{d\hat{\sigma}[{}^{3}P_{J}^{[1]}]}{d\hat{\sigma}[{}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}]}\right].$$

$$r(\chi_{c0}) \equiv \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}^{\chi_{c0}}({}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]})\rangle}{\langle \mathcal{O}^{\chi_{c0}}({}^{3}P_{0}^{[1]})\rangle/m_{c}^{2}},$$



## Negative total cross sections

Inclusive  $J/\psi$ -photoproduction (CSM):

$$\gamma + p \to c\bar{c} \begin{bmatrix} {}^3S_1^{[1]} \end{bmatrix} + X, \text{ LO: } \gamma(q) + g(p_1) \to c\bar{c} \begin{bmatrix} {}^3S_1^{[1]} \end{bmatrix} + g_1$$

Inclusive  $\eta_c$ -hadroproduction (CSM):

 $p+p \rightarrow c\bar{c} \left[ {}^{1}S_{0}^{[1]} \right] + X, \text{ LO: } g(p_{1}) + g(p_{2}) \rightarrow c\bar{c} \left[ {}^{1}S_{0}^{[1]} \right]$ 



## Double $J/\psi$ production

#### Cannot explain data

- 3 orders of discrepancy between data and single-parton scattering
- 1 order discrepancy still exist after including double-parton scattering
- What is missing?







Lansberg, Shao, 1410.8822
# **Beyond NLO**

#### > Very big high order correction!

- Higher orders fail to describe data
- Strange: breaking down of perturbation theory? Or other mechanism?







# Status around 2015

#### > Phenomenological: difficulties

NLO NRQCD theory has difficulty to describe global data: universality problem, polarization puzzle, hierarchy problem, negative cross section, large perturbative corrections...

reference search

#### Theoretical: rigorousness of NRQCD

Based on EFT of QCD; Factorization has been tested to NNLO

Rigorous QCD analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy guarkonium

Geoffrey T. Bodwin (Argonne), Eric Braaten (Fermilab), G.Peter Lepage (Cornell U., LNS) Jul, 1994 126 pages Published in: Phys.Rev.D 51 (1995) 1125-1171, Phys.Rev.D 55 (1997) 5853 (erratum) e-Print: hep-ph/9407339 [hep-ph] DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5853, 10.1103/PhysRevD.51.1125 Report number: ANL-HEP-PR-94-24, FERMILAB-PUB-94-073-T, NUHEP-TH-94-5 View in: ADS Abstract Service, OSTI Information Bridge Server, KEK scanned document

🗟 claim

20

Nayak, Qiu, Sterman, 0509021 Bodwin, Chung, Ee, Kim, Lee, 1910.05497 Zhang, Meng, YQM, Chao, 2011.04905



#### What is missing?

🖃 cite

∂ links

[A] pdf

- **Remember:** summation over all possible *n* in NRQCD formula •
- One possibility: corrections at high powers in v (relativistic corrections)!



#### I. Introduction of quarkonium

#### II. CSM and CEM

#### **III. NRQCD factorization theory**

**IV. Soft gluon factorization** 

V. Recent progresses and difficulties

#### **VI. Summary**

# Relativistic corrections in NRQCD

- ➤ Relativistic (power) corrections
   Equations of motion of NRQCD EFT:  $(iD_0 \frac{D^2}{2m} + \cdots)\psi = 0$ 
  - **NRQCD** factorization: use **EOM** to remove  $V_0$ , leaving operators like:

(Warning: here D replaced by  $\nabla$ , needs proper gluon fields to make them gauge invariant)

 $\chi^{\dagger}\psi, \chi^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}\psi, \chi^{\dagger}T^{a}\psi, \chi^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}T^{a}\psi$ Type 0: Different colors, spins  $\chi^{\dagger} q E^i \psi$ Type 1: Intrinsic gluons (E, B fields)  $\gamma^{\dagger} \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{i} \psi$ Type 2: Orbital angular momentum  $\chi^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}^2 \psi$ Type 3: Relative momentum  $\nabla^i \left( \chi^\dagger \psi \right)$ Type 4: Total momentum

Corrections in type 3 widely studied, for charmonium production in pp collision, about 30%-50% corrections

**CS-channel**: Fan, YQM, Chao, 0904.4025 **CO-channel**: Xu, Li, Liu, Zhang, 1203.0207 S-D mixing-channel: He, Kniehl, 1507.03882 LP in  $p_T$ , all order in v: Li, Chen, Huang, YQM, 1909.03554

However, more relativisticcorrection terms may be needed!

# Soft gluon emission

#### Soft gluon emission in color-bleaching process

- $P_{\psi}$  is different from  $P, P = P_{\psi}[1 + O(\lambda)]$
- **NRQCD** expand *P* around  $P_{\psi}$



YQM, Vogt, 1609.06042

#### Bad convergence of NRQCD expansion

• Cross section approximately  $\propto P^{-4} = P_{\psi}^{-4} [1 + O(\lambda)]^{-4}$ 

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\cos\theta}{2(1+\lambda+\lambda\cos\theta)^4} = 0.42 = 1 - 4\lambda + \frac{40}{3\lambda^2} - 40\lambda^3 + \cdots$$

$$= 1 - 1.2 + 1.2 - 1.08 + 0.91 - 0.73 + \cdots$$
Mangano, Petrelli, 9610364
With  $\lambda \approx v^2 \approx 0.3$ 

• Solution: soft gluon momentum should be kept but not expanded, which means to resum relativistic corrections (due to kinematic effects) to all powers in *v*!

# **Over subtraction**

 $\succ \text{Eg. } \chi_{cI} \text{ production: } d\sigma_{\chi_{cJ}}/(2J+1) \approx d\hat{\sigma}_{3P_{J}^{[1]}} \langle O\left({}^{3}P_{0}^{[1]}\right) \rangle + d\hat{\sigma}_{3S_{1}^{[8]}} \langle O\left({}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}\right) \rangle$ 

Braaten, Chen, 9610401 YQM, Wang, Chao, 1002.3987



- Soft gluon in P-wave: factorized to S-wave matrix element
- Subtraction scheme: at *zero momentum*, which contributes the largest production rate.
   Over subtracted! P-wave negative!
- Big cancellation between S-wave and P-wave! Perturbation unstable
- Solution: soft gluon momentum should be kept during subtraction process, or resum kinematic effects to all powers in *v*.

# Threshold region

#### > At threshold region

• Large logarithms appear: can be resummed by introducing shape functions

Beneke, Rothstein, Wise, 9705286 Fleming, Leibovich, Mehen, 0306139 Leibovich, Liu, 0705.3230

• Soft gluon momentum: has leading contribution for quarkonium momentum distribution, cannot be ignored

#### Combination of logs and powers resummation needed

• Keep soft gluon momentum unexpanded is the first step.

### Comments

#### $\succ$ Relativistic corrections with fixed power in v

- Bad convergence, too many terms are needed
- Involves too many LDMEs, very hard to fix them
- Solution: resum all LDMEs to obtain a function!

(Like resum twist-2 local operators to obtain PDFs)

#### > What do we need to resum?

- Type 0 (  $\chi^{\dagger}\psi, \chi^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}\psi, \chi^{\dagger}T^{a}\psi, \chi^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}T^{a}\psi$  ): finite number, can be studied exclusively
- Type 1-2 insertion (  $\chi^{\dagger}gE^{i}\psi$  ,  $\chi^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow^{i}\psi$  ): usually not enhanced, less important
- Type 3 and 4 insertion ( $\chi^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow^2 \psi$ ,  $\nabla^i (\chi^{\dagger} \psi)$ ): kinematic effects, enhanced if the observable has a steep distribution. E.g.,  $p_T$  distribution in pp collision, momentum distribution in endpoint region.

# Soft gluon factorization

#### Different way to use EoM in NRQCD EFT

- NRQCD factorization: use EOM to remove  $V_0$
- SGF: remove relative derivatives  $\overleftrightarrow_0, \overleftrightarrow^2$ , leaving only total derivatives

Factorization formula

 $P = P_H + P_X$ : momentum of  $Q\bar{Q}$ 

$$(2\pi)^3 2P_H^0 \frac{d\sigma_H}{d^3 P_H} \approx \sum_n \int \frac{d^4 P}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{H}_n(P) F_{n \to H}(P, P_H)$$

- $\mathcal{H}_n$ : perturbatively calculable hard parts
- $F_{n \rightarrow H}$ : nonperturbative soft gluon distributions (SGDs)
- Comparing with NRQCD factorization: a series of relativistic corrections resummed
- Relation: CO->TMD, NRQCD->SGF

Ma, Chao, 1703.08402 Chen, Ma, 2005.08786



- I. Introduction of quarkonium
- II. CSM and CEM
- **III. NRQCD factorization theory**
- **IV. Soft gluon factorization**

V. Recent progresses and difficulties

#### **VI. Summary**

# Preliminary: universality in SGF

≻ Application to  $e^+e^- → J/\psi({}^3P_I^{[8]}, {}^1S_0^{[8]}) + X$ 

٠



Figure 4. The differential cross sections in SGF and NRQCD factorization approaches.

Smaller partonic cross section, larger LDMEs allowed

$$M_k^X = \langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0^{[8]}) \rangle + k \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0^{[8]}) \rangle}{m_c^2} \qquad \begin{array}{l} M_{3.9}^{\mathrm{NRQCD}} < (2.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{GeV^3}, \\ M_{3.9}^{\mathrm{NLO+NLL}} < (5.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{GeV^3}, \\ M_{2.5}^{\mathrm{SGF}} < (7.2 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{GeV^3}. \end{array}$$

• LDMEs in  $e^+e^-$  can be consistent with that extracted in pp $pp: M_0 = \langle O\left( {}^{1}S_0^{[8]} \right) \rangle + 3.9 \langle O\left( {}^{3}P_0^{[8]} \right) \rangle / m_c^2 \approx (7.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^3$ 

### Fragmentation functions in SGF

≻ Gluon FFs 
$$g \rightarrow Q\overline{Q}({}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}) + X$$

 $\overline{\Lambda}$ : average momentum emitted

Chen, Jin, YQM, Meng, 2103.15121

$$D_{g \to H}(z, M_H, m_Q, M_H) = \int_z^1 \frac{dx}{x} \hat{D}_{[SS]}(\hat{z}, M_H/x, m_Q, M_H, M_H) \\ \times F_{[SS] \to H}(x, M_H, m_Q, M_H),$$
$$D_{g \to H}^{(0)}(z, M_H, m_Q, M_H) = \int_z^1 \frac{dx}{x} \hat{D}_{[SS]}^{(0)}(\hat{z}, M_H/x, M_H, M_H) \\ \times F_{[SS] \to H}(x, M_H, m_Q, M_H).$$



Figure 7. Left figure: Comparison of the gluon FF obtained in different approximations. Right figure:  $\bar{\Lambda}$  dependence of gluon FF at NLO.

$$R^{X}(n) \equiv \frac{\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{n} D_{g \to H}^{X}(z, M_{H}, m_{Q}, \mu)}{\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{n} D_{g \to H}(z, M_{H}, m_{Q}, \mu)},$$

 $R^{NRQCD} \approx 6$ 

z

1.0

# Fragmentation functions in SGF

Chen, YQM, Meng, 2304.04552

- > Gluon FFs  $g \rightarrow Q\overline{Q}$  (<sup>3</sup>P<sub>I</sub><sup>[1]</sup>) + X
  - In NRQCD: plus-function result in negative results

$$\hat{d}_{g \to {}^{3}P_{J}^{[1]}}^{(2)} = \frac{4}{9N_{c}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{Q_{J}}{2J+1} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu_{\Lambda}^{2}}{4m_{Q}^{2}} \right) \right] \delta(1-z) + \frac{z}{(1-z)_{+}} + \frac{P_{J}(z)}{2J+1} \right\}$$

• In SGF: plus-functions are factorized into nonperturbative functions, can be positive

$$\hat{D}_{g \to Q\bar{Q}[^{3}P_{0}^{[1]}]}^{LO,(0)} = \frac{32\alpha_{s}^{2}}{M_{H}^{5}N_{c}} \frac{2}{9} \left[ \frac{1}{36} z(837 - 162z + 72z^{2} + 40z^{3} + 8z^{4}) + \frac{9}{2}(5 - 3z)\ln(1 - z) \right]$$

# Resolve negative cross section at high $p_T$

- $\succ \chi_{cJ}$  production
- $\chi^2/d. o. f = 0.63/8$ , as good as NRQCD
- No substantial cancellations
- Cross sections are positive at high  $p_T$





Chen, YQM, Meng, 2304.04552

See also resummation within NRQCD framework

# Resolve negative total cross section

• Resum large log  $\ln(S/m^2)$  using high energy factorization

Lansberg, Nefedov, Ozcelik, 2112.06789

See also: YQM, Venugopalan, 1408.4075





QUARKONIUM PRODUCTION IN PNRQCD

# S-wave Matrix Elements in pNRQCD



► Three correlators *E*<sub>10;10</sub>, *E*<sub>00</sub>, *B*<sub>00</sub> to rule all S-wave production

# **CROSS SECTION RATIOS**

Universality of the gluonic correlators leads to predictions for cross section ratios, independently of the correlators



QUARKONIUM PRODUCTION IN PNRQCD

# **Determinations of Gluonic Correlators**

• The fits constrain  $\mathcal{E}_{10;10}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{00}$  to be positive, and  $\mathcal{B}_{00}$  is small.

 $\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]})\rangle \ (\text{GeV}^{3})}{(1.40\pm0.42)\times10^{-2}} \quad \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]})\rangle \ (\text{GeV}^{3})}{(-0.63\pm3.22)\times10^{-2}} \quad \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}P_{0}^{[8]})\rangle/m^{2} \ (\text{GeV}^{3})}{(2.59\pm0.83)\times10^{-2}} \quad \frac{p_{T}}{2m} > 5$ 

- ) These also determine  $\psi(2S)$  and  $\Upsilon$  matrix elements.
- S-wave production is dominated by the  ${}^{3}S_{1}[^{8]} + {}^{3}P_{J}[^{8]}$ . Large cancellation occur between  ${}^{3}S_{1}[^{8]}$  and  ${}^{3}P_{J}[^{8]}$  channels.



# Summary

- > Current difficulties: polarization puzzle, hierarchy problem, universality problem, negative cross sections,  $J/\psi$ -pair puzzle, high-order puzzle,...
  - Some may be solved in soft gluon factorization
  - Others very hard to understand

#### > Quarkonium production mechanism: a very important topic

- But an extremely hard problem
- New theoretical ideas needed
- New data needed: confirm previous data; test the energy emitted during hadronization; test the spin symmetry between  $J/\psi$  and  $\eta_c$ ; ...

# 16TH INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON HEAVY QUARKONIUM (QWG 2024)

IISER MOHALI FEBRUARY 26, 2024 TO MARCH 1, 2024

#### Production



Yan-Qing Ma

Peking University

AND RESEARCH, MORALI





Eric Braaten Jianwei Qiu Ohio State University Jefferson Lab



Kartvelishvili

Lancaster University

Vaia Papadimitriou <sub>Fermilab</sub>

🖾 (i) 🌐

# Thank you!

# Double parton fragmentation function

- > Next to leading power (NLP) contribution is important
  - LP contribution of  ${}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}$  leads to transversely polarized J/ $\psi$  at high  $p_{T}$ , contradicts with data
  - At NLO, the LP contributions almost canceled between  ${}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}$  and  ${}^{3}P_{I}^{[8]}$
  - LP contribution of  ${}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]}$  is dominant only for  $P_{T} > 50$  GeV
  - LP contribution of  ${}^{3}S_{1}^{[1]}$  is negligible compared with experimental data

States	Power in v	p <sub>T</sub> behavior at LO	p <sub>T</sub> behavior at NLO		
${}^{3}S_{1}^{[1]}$	V <sup>0</sup>	p <sub>T</sub> -8	p <sub>T</sub> -6		
<sup>3</sup> S <sub>1</sub> <sup>[8]</sup>	V <sup>4</sup>	p <sub>T</sub> ⁻⁴ <mark>(LP)</mark>	p <sub>T</sub> ⁻⁴		
<sup>1</sup> S <sub>0</sub> <sup>[8]</sup>	V <sup>3</sup>	p <sub>T</sub> ⁻6 <mark>(NLP)</mark>	p <sub>T</sub> ⁻⁴		
<sup>3</sup> P <sub>J</sub> [8]	V <sup>4</sup>	p <sub>T</sub> ⁻ <sup>6</sup>	p <sub>T</sub> <sup>-4</sup>		

Braaten, Doncheski, Fleming, Mangano, 0911.2166 Ma, Wang, Chao, 1009.3655.

#### To do power expansion first and also to resum large logarithms of $p_T^2/m_c^2$

# Double parton fragmentation function

#### LP+NLP factorization formalism

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_{A+B\to H+X}(p) \\ \approx \sum_{f} d\hat{\sigma}_{A+B\to f+X}(p_{f} = p/z) \otimes D_{H/f}(z, m_{Q}) \\ + \sum_{[Q\bar{Q}(\kappa)]} d\hat{\sigma}_{A+B\to [Q\bar{Q}(\kappa)]+X}(p(1 \pm \zeta)/2z, p(1 \pm \zeta')/2z) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{H/[Q\bar{Q}(\kappa)]}(z, \zeta, \zeta', m_{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

Kang, Qiu, Sterman, 1109.1520 Kang, YQM, Qiu, Sterman, 1401.0923

$$p_{Q}^{+} = p^{+} \frac{1+\zeta}{2z}, \qquad p_{\bar{Q}}^{+} = p^{+} \frac{1-\zeta}{2z},$$
$$p_{Q}^{\prime +} = p^{+} \frac{1+\zeta^{\prime}}{2z}, \qquad p_{\bar{Q}}^{\prime +} = p^{+} \frac{1-\zeta^{\prime}}{2z}.$$

- $D_{H/[Q\bar{Q}(\kappa)]}$ :double parton FF
- $\kappa$  represents the pair's color and spin

• Operator definition of double parton FF (example)

$$\mathcal{D}_{H/[Q\bar{Q}(a8)]}(z,\zeta,\zeta') = \sum_{X} \int \frac{p^+ dy^-}{2\pi} e^{-i(p^+/z)y^-} \int \frac{p^+ dy_1^- p^+ dy_2^-}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(p^+/2z)(1-\zeta)y_1^-} e^{-i(p^+/2z)(1-\zeta')y_2^-} \\ \times \frac{4}{(N^2-1)} \langle 0|\psi_i(0)\frac{\gamma^+\gamma_5}{4p^+}(t^a)_{ij}\bar{\psi}_j(y_2^-)|H(p^+)X\rangle \langle H(p^+)X|\psi_l(y^-+y_1^-)\frac{\gamma^+\gamma_5}{4p^+}(t^a)_{lk}\bar{\psi}_k(y^-)|0\rangle,$$

• NRQCD factorization of FFs

 $d_{[Q\bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow [Q\bar{Q}(c)]}$  are available up to  $O(\alpha_s)$ 

Ma, Qiu, Zhang, 1501.04556

$$\begin{split} D_{H/f}(z, m_Q, \mu) \\ &= \sum_c d_{f \to [Q\bar{Q}(c)]}(z, m_Q, \mu) \langle O^H_{[Q\bar{Q}(c)]} \rangle, \\ D_{H/[Q\bar{Q}(\kappa)]}(z, \zeta, \zeta', m_Q, \mu), \\ &= \sum_c d_{[Q\bar{Q}(\kappa)] \to [Q\bar{Q}(c)]}(z, \zeta, \zeta', m_Q, \mu) \langle O^H_{[Q\bar{Q}(c)]} \rangle, \end{split}$$

# Double parton fragmentation function

#### > Application to high- $P_T$ quarkonium production

Comparison with NLO NRQCD calculations

Channel	${}^{3}S_{1}^{[1]}$	${}^{3}\!S_{1}^{[1]}$	${}^{3}\!S_{1}^{[8]}$	${}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}$	${}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]}$	${}^{1}\!S_{0}^{[8]}$	${}^{3}\!P_{J}^{[8]}$	${}^{3}P_{J}^{[8]}$
Power	LP	NLP	LP	NLP	LP	NLP	LP	NLP
PDFs	-	LO	LO	LO	NLO	LO	NLO	LO
$\mathrm{FFs}$	-	$\alpha_s^1$	$\alpha_s^1$	$\alpha_s^0$	$\alpha_s^2$	$\alpha_s^0$	$\alpha_s^2$	$lpha_s^0$
SDCs	-	$\alpha_s^3$	$\alpha_s^2$	$\alpha_s^3$	$\alpha_s^2$	$\alpha_s^3$	$\alpha_s^2$	$\alpha_s^3$

The choices for LP+NLP factorization calculations

Ma, Qiu, Sterman, zhang, 1407.0383

Ratio of  $J/\psi$  production rate from LP+NLP factorization over that of NLO NRQCD calculation

LP+NLP factorization calculation naturally reproduces all NLO NRQCD factorization results for high-*P*<sub>T</sub>



2.5

2.0

1.0

15

20

30

 $p_T$  (GeV)

50

70

100

10

 $d\tilde{\sigma}_{\rm LO}^{\rm pQCD}/d\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm NRQCD}$ 



# Global fit by Butenschoen and Kniehl

#### > NLO NRQCD V.S. RHIC, Tevatro, LHC data



Butenschoen, Kniehl, 1105.0820

# Global fit by Butenschoen and Kniehl

#### > NLO NRQCD V.S. LHC, HERA, LEP data

Butenschoen, Kniehl, 1105.0820



# NLO fit by ANL-Korea group

#### **Fit** $J/\psi$ yield data at Tevatron and LHC

Bodwin, Chung, Kim, Lee, 1403.3612

- Exclude  $p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}$  data
- Large logs at LP in  $1/p_T^2$  expansion are resumed

 $\frac{d\sigma^{\rm LP+NLO}}{dp_T} = \frac{d\sigma^{\rm LP}}{dp_T} - \frac{d\sigma^{\rm LP}_{\rm NLO}}{dp_T} + \frac{d\sigma_{\rm NLO}}{dp_T}$  $\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0^{[8]})\rangle = -0.030 \pm 0.381 \text{ GeV}^3$  $\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^3S_1^{[8]})\rangle = 0.023 \pm 0.057 \text{ GeV}^3$  $\langle \mathcal{O}^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0^{[8]})\rangle = 0.043 \pm 0.106 \text{ GeV}^5.$ 



#### Good fit, yet another different set of LDMEs

# Data driven by CERN et. al. group

#### **Fit** $J/\psi$ yield data at Tevatron and LHC

• Similar shape as functions of  $p_T/M$ 



Faccioli, Knunz, Lourenco, Seixas, Wohri, 1403.3970

• Ignoring  ${}^{3}P_{I}^{[8]}$  contributions,  ${}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]}$  dominance

# Derivation of SGF: exclusive processes

> A different way to use EOM

Li, Feng, YQM, 1911.05886 Chen, YQM, 2005.08786

- NRQCD factorization: use EOM to remove  $V_0$
- SGF: remove relative derivatives  $\overleftrightarrow_0, \overleftrightarrow_2$ , leaving only total derivatives:

$$\langle R_{nn_1n_2}^H \rangle = \langle 0 | \nabla_0^{n_1} \nabla^{n_2} (\chi^{\dagger} \mathbf{K}_n \psi) | H \rangle$$

 $K_n$  denotes operators for type 0-2 insertions

• Assume: factorization (similar to NRQCD factorization) is valid to all orders:

$$A^{H} = \sum_{n,n_{1},n_{2}} \hat{A}_{nn_{1}n_{2}}(P_{H}) \langle R_{nn_{1}n_{2}}^{H} \rangle$$

#### Using integration by parts

- Remove operators unless  $n_1 = n_2 = 0$
- H rest frame: matching coefficients are functions of quarkonium mass
- Factorization

$$\mathcal{R}^{Q} = \sum_{n} \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{n} \overline{R}_{Q}^{n*} \qquad \overline{R}_{Q}^{n*} = \langle 0 | [\overline{\Psi} \mathcal{K}_{n} \Psi](0) | Q \rangle_{S}$$
  
"S": field operators are in small momentum regions

# **Derivation of SGF: inclusive processes**

#### > Use EOM to remove relative derivatives

YQM, Chao, 1703.08402 Chen, YQM, 2005.08786

**Resulting:**  $\langle O_{nn_1n_2n_3n_4}^{H+X} \rangle = \langle 0 \left| \nabla_0^{n_1} \nabla^{n_2} (\chi^{\dagger} \widetilde{K}_n \psi)^{\dagger} (a_{H+X}^{\dagger} a_{H+X}) \nabla_0^{n_3} \nabla^{n_4} (\chi^{\dagger} K_n \psi) \right| 0 \rangle$ 

where  $a_{H+X}^{\dagger}a_{H+X} \equiv \sum_{J_z^H} |H+X\rangle\langle H+X|$ 

• Assume: factorization is valid at this level

$$(2\pi)^3 2P_H^0 \frac{d\sigma_H}{d^3 P_H} = \sum_{n, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4} d\hat{\sigma}_{nn_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} (P_H) \sum_{X, P_X} \langle O_{nn_1 n_2 n_3 n_4}^{H+X} \rangle$$

- > Use integration by parts
  - Remove operators unless  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 0$
  - Matching coefficients are functions of (H rest frame):  $m_H, E_X, \vec{P}_X^2$ (Warning:  $\vec{P}_X \cdot \langle O_{n0000}^{H+X} \rangle$  is also possible if polarization is concerned)
  - In a general frame:  $P_H^2$ ,  $P_H \cdot P_X$ ,  $P_X^2$

# **Derivation of SGF: inclusive processes**



- $\mathcal{H}_n$ : perturbatively calculable hard parts
- $F_{n \rightarrow H}$ : nonperturbative soft gluon distributions (SGDs)
- UV renormalization scale is suppressed

$$F_{n \to H}(P, P_H) = \int d^4 b e^{-iP \cdot b} \langle 0 | [\overline{\Psi} \mathcal{K}_n \Psi]^{\dagger}(0) (a_H^{\dagger} a_H) [\overline{\Psi} \mathcal{K}_n \Psi](b) | 0 \rangle_{\mathrm{S}}$$

# Soft gluon distributions (SGDs)

#### Operator definition

Expectation values of bilocal operators in QCD vacuum

$$F_{n \to H}(P, P_H) = \int d^4 b e^{-iP \cdot b} \langle 0 | [\overline{\Psi} \mathcal{K}_n \Psi]^{\dagger}(0) (a_H^{\dagger} a_H) [\overline{\Psi} \mathcal{K}_n \Psi](b) | 0 \rangle_{\mathrm{S}}$$

with

$$a_{H}^{\dagger}a_{H} = \sum_{X} \sum_{J_{z}^{H}} |H + X\rangle \langle H + X|$$
$$\mathcal{K}_{n}(rb) = \frac{\sqrt{M_{H}}}{M_{H} + 2m} \frac{M_{H} + \mathcal{P}_{H}}{2M_{H}} \Gamma_{n} \frac{M_{H} - \mathcal{P}_{H}}{2M_{H}} \mathcal{C}^{[c]}$$

Spin project operators:  $\Gamma_n = \sum_{L_z, S_z} \langle L, L_z; S, S_z | J, J_z \rangle \Gamma_{LL_z}^o \Gamma_{SS_z}^s$ 

**Color project operators:** 

$$\mathcal{C}^{[1]} = \frac{\mathbf{1}_c}{\sqrt{N_c}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{C}^{[8]} = \sqrt{2}t^{\bar{a}} \Phi^{(A)}_{a\bar{a}}(rb)$$

# Soft gluon distributions (SGDs)

#### Gauge link

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{(A)}(rb) &= \mathcal{P} \exp\left\{-ig_s \int_0^\infty d\lambda \, b_\ell \cdot A^{(A)}(r \, b + \lambda \, b_\ell)\right\} \\ b_\ell^\mu &= b^\mu + \varepsilon \ell^\mu \qquad \qquad 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \end{split}$$

- When *b* is finite, gauge link along *b* direction (avoid gauge-link-collinear divergence)
- When b → 0, gauge link unambiguously along l direction
   (agree with gauge-completed NRQCD matrix elements)
   Nayak, Qiu, Sterman, 0509021
   Nayak, Qiu, Sterman, 0509021

#### Evaluated in <u>small</u> region

• Subscript "S": evaluate the matrix element in the region where offshellness of all particles is much smaller than heavy quark mass

# **RGEs for SGDs**

Chen, Jin, YQM, Meng, 2103.15121

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu_f} F_{[L'\tilde{L}',\lambda']\to H}(z, M_H, m_Q, \mu_f) = \sum_{L,\tilde{L},\lambda} \int_z^1 \frac{dx}{x} \boldsymbol{K}^{[L\tilde{L},\lambda]}_{[L'\tilde{L}',\lambda']}(\hat{z}, M_H/x, m_Q, \mu_f)$$
$$\times F_{[L\tilde{L},\lambda]\to H}(x, M_H, m_Q, \mu_f),$$

• Evolution kernels

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{K}_{[L'\tilde{L}',\lambda']}^{[L\tilde{L},\lambda],LO}(\hat{z}, M_H/x, m_Q, \mu_f) &= \frac{d}{d\ln\mu_f} F_{[L'\tilde{L}',\lambda'] \to Q\bar{Q}[L\tilde{L},\lambda]}^{NLO}(\hat{z}, M_H/x, m_Q, \mu_f).\\ \boldsymbol{K}_{[SS]}^{[SS],LO}(z, M_H, m_Q, \mu_f) &= \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \bigg\{ N_c \bigg[ \frac{2z}{(1-z)_+} - \ln\frac{\mu^2 e^{-1}}{M_H^2} \delta(1-z) \\ &- 2\delta(1-z) \bigg( \frac{1}{2\Delta} \ln\frac{1+\Delta}{1-\Delta} - 1 \bigg) \bigg] + \frac{1}{N_c} \bigg( \frac{1+\Delta^2}{2\Delta} \ln\frac{1+\Delta}{1-\Delta} - 1 \bigg) \delta(1-z) \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\sqrt{M_H^2 - 4m_Q^2}}{M_H}$$

➢ RGEs



# Hard part for $g \rightarrow Q\overline{Q}({}^{3}S_{1}^{[8]}) + X$

> NRQCD

$$\begin{split} \hat{d}_{g \to {}^{3}\!S_{1}^{[8]}}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{12C_{F}} \Big[ A(\mu_{0}) \delta(1-z) + \frac{1}{N_{c}} P_{gg}(z) \Big( \ln(\frac{\mu_{0}^{2}}{4m_{Q}^{2}}) - 1 \Big) \quad \underset{\mathbf{Y}}{\mathbf{H}} \\ &+ \frac{2(1-z)}{z} - \frac{4(1-z+z^{2})^{2}}{z} \underbrace{\left( \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z} \right)}_{1-z} \Big], \end{split}$$

Braaten, Lee, 0004228 YQM, Qiu, Zhang, 1311.7078

• Double logs as  $z \to 1$  (threshold logs)

> SGF

 $\hat{D}_{[SS]}^{LO,(0)}(\hat{z}, M_H/x, \mu, \mu_f) = \frac{\pi \alpha_s}{(N_c^2 - 1)} \frac{8x^3}{M_H^3} \delta(1 - \hat{z}), \qquad (5.28a)$   $\hat{D}_{[SS]}^{NLO,(0)}(\hat{z}, M_H/x, \mu, \mu_f) = \frac{4\alpha_s^2 N_c x^3}{(N_c^2 - 1)M_H^3} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \delta(1 - \hat{z}) \left( 2A(\mu, M_H/x) + \frac{2\beta_0}{N_c} \ln\left(\frac{x^2 \mu_f^2 e^{-1}}{M_H^2}\right) + \ln^2\left(\frac{x^2 \mu_f^2 e^{-1}}{M_H^2}\right) \right] + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 + \frac{1}{N_c} P_{gg}^{(0)}(\hat{z}) \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_f^2}\right) + \left(\frac{2(1 - \hat{z})}{\hat{z}} + \hat{z}(4 + 2\hat{z}^2) + \frac{2\hat{z}^4}{9}(5 + \hat{z})\right) \right] \times \left( \ln\left(\frac{x^2 \mu_f^2 e^{-1}}{M_H^2}\right) - 2\ln(1 - \hat{z}) + \frac{2(1 - \hat{z})}{\hat{z}} - \left(\frac{4\hat{z}^4}{1 - \hat{z}} - \frac{4\hat{z}^4}{9}(5 + \hat{z})\right) \ln \hat{z} \right]. \qquad (5.28b)$ 

- No threshold logs in hard part
- Logs are factorized to SGDs and then resummed by using REGs
## Nonperturbative models

### > The first class of models

 $F^{\text{mod}}(\omega') = M_H N_H \frac{b^b}{\Gamma(b)} \frac{\omega'^{b-1}}{\bar{\Lambda}^b} e^{-b\omega'/\bar{\Lambda}}, \quad \omega' = M_H (1/x - 1), \quad \text{Fleming, Leibovich, Mehen, 0306139}$ 

Model-1:  $F^{\text{mod}}(\omega')|_{\bar{\Lambda}=0.6 \text{GeV}, b=2}$ , Model-2:  $F^{\text{mod}}(\omega')|_{\bar{\Lambda}=0.6 \text{GeV}, b=1}$ , Model-3:  $F^{\text{mod}}(\omega')|_{\bar{\Lambda}=0.6 \text{GeV}, b=3}$ , Model-4:  $F^{\text{mod}}(\omega')|_{\bar{\Lambda}=0.5 \text{GeV}, b=2}$ , Model-5:  $F^{\text{mod}}(\omega')|_{\bar{\Lambda}=0.7 \text{GeV}, b=2}$ ,

the zeroth, first and second moments are  $M_H N_H$ ,  $M_H N_H \overline{\Lambda}$  and  $M_H N_H \overline{\Lambda}^2(\frac{1}{b}+1)$ 

#### > The other models

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Model-6:} & 4M_H N_H [\theta(w' \ge \frac{19}{40}) - \theta(w' > \frac{29}{40})], & \text{Model-8:} & \begin{cases} M_H N_H (-\frac{50}{81}w' + \frac{10}{9}), & 0 \le w' \le \frac{9}{5}, \\ 0, & w' > \frac{9}{5}, \end{cases} \\ \text{Model-7:} & \frac{5}{6} M_H N_H [\theta(w' \ge 0) - \theta(w' > \frac{6}{5})], & \text{Model-9:} & \begin{cases} \frac{200}{81} M_H N_H w', & 0 \le w' \le \frac{9}{10}, \\ 0, & w' > \frac{9}{10}. \end{cases} \\ \end{array}$$

## **RGEs effects**

### Model dependence is significantly reduced after using RGEs







**Figure 7**. Left figure: Comparison of the gluon FF obtained in different approximations. Right figure:  $\overline{\Lambda}$  dependence of gluon FF at NLO.

1.0

0.005

0.000

0.2

0.4

0.6

z

0.8

$$R^{X}(n) \equiv \frac{\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{n} D_{g \to H}^{X}(z, M_{H}, m_{Q}, \mu)}{\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{n} D_{g \to H}(z, M_{H}, m_{Q}, \mu)},$$

 $R^{NRQCD} \approx 6$ 

0.000

0.2

0.4

0.6

z

0.8

# $J/\psi$ production at B factories



Chen, Jin, YQM, Meng, in preparation

- Similar to SCET+NRQCD at large z, different at small z
- Has smaller total cross section, easier to reconcile with pp data